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The nineteenth-century gold rushes transformed mining, just as they transformed those places where they occurred. As Elliot West noted – speaking of America although his comments apply equally to Australia, New Zealand, or Canada – ‘every rush set loose the transforming energy of a new urban industrial America into the country around it. A mining camp was like an artillery shell lobbed into the outback’.¹ Mining flourished in the decades that followed the gold rushes, particularly in the western United States. The individuals associated with those mines came to be regarded as especially competent, and by the late nineteenth century they had emerged as a distinct occupational group, American mining engineers. Soon they could be found on virtually every mining field around the world. Their sudden rise to prominence seems a fascinating – if neglected – chapter in the growing globalization of the world’s resource industries.² A number of these men were recruited to operate Australian mines, and the following pages examine their role in New South Wales, Western Australia and Victoria during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.

American mining engineers attained a dominant position in the world’s mining industry in a remarkably short time and when the industry itself was undergoing profound change. These individuals not only controlled much of the industry, they also participated in professional organizations and supported a range of technical journals. They created an epistemic community which, like the mining industry in which they worked, extended to every corner of the world. The phrase ‘epistemic community’ has a specific meaning within the social sciences: ‘Epistemic communities can ... be defined as a group of [people] sharing a common goal of knowledge creation and a common framework allowing the shared understanding of this trend’.³ This may seem a somewhat cumbersome and overly theoretical way to describe American mining engineers and their activities, but it provides a way to understand the rapid growth and growing ubiquity of the technical literature of the mining engineering profession. Periodicals such as the New York-based Engineering and Mining Journal regularly ran
articles on innovations developed on mining fields in countries thousands of miles apart, articles which then could spark lively discussions in the correspondence pages among geographically-dispersed practitioners. Those conversations illustrate the workings of the engineers’ epistemic community.⁴

If the growing prominence of the American mining engineer was in evidence by the 1880s, it was at that point still a relatively recent phenomenon. In mid nineteenth century, North Americans deferred to European expertise in mining and metallurgy. In the wake of the gold rushes, for example, ambitious young men from the United States flocked to European mining schools, notably at Freiburg in Germany, since they had little opportunity to study mining in their own country.⁵ Despite this, hard rock mining soon became a predominantly North American industry, largely because the processes and technology that functioned adequately in such European mining regions as Cornwall or Germany did not transfer successfully to North America’s mining frontier. The relative inadequacy of European methods in the American West meant that it became a region where rapid innovation and technological change was the norm, thus giving western mining its distinctive characteristics. Thus James Douglas, a prominent North American mining engineer, argued in 1899 that ‘Where [American mining engineers] differed from their brethren abroad was in their inoculation by the spirit of adaptiveness which is so strong a feature of the national character’.⁶

More generally, the rise of the American mining engineer coincided with the mining industry’s rapid development and expansion, as it became an industrial activity based on intensive mechanization and economies of scale. The period saw the introduction of new mining and metallurgical methods as well as readily available venture capital, which together helped to launch new mines all over the world: mineral production soared and mining stocks enjoyed periods of intense speculative activity. Yet in many ways the catalyst for this expansion was the industry’s dramatic growth in western America, and western mining became the norm against which mining practices around the world were judged (even though much of the venture capital was British, and few of the key innovations were of American origin).

It is useful to situate the rise of American engineering within a broader international context, especially that of the shifting fortunes of the US and the UK. The dramatic eclipse of Swansea’s smelting industry, coupled with the equally dramatic rise of American copper smelting, was a telling example of this shift. From the 1880s through to the First World War, British authorities routinely lamented their failure to
maintain their technological lead, a general complaint that often pointed to the specific example of the dominance of American mining engineers in South Africa. The analysis offered by the authors of a book published in the UK in 1916 was typical:

In [mining engineering] as in other industries the lead in up-to-date methods was given by America. The mechanical processes of mining in this country have failed to give many new ideas to the world, and modern methods have been mostly developed abroad. ... In the development of our gold mines in South Africa, the majority of the engineers were American and the machinery of American design. In smelting the same thing obtains. For many years this country held the premier position in copper smelting, and ore was imported in large bulk to Swansea and elsewhere for treatment. Gradually other countries began to break away and to establish their own plant. The English smelters pinned their faith to old methods, and "best selected" was their standard. America, followed by other countries, developed electrolytic refining, originally an English idea...[7]

The presence of American mining engineers in Australia reflected a broader trend, the general expansion of American engineering expertise.

The growing significance of American engineering was not confined to mining. The railways were another industry where British authorities becoming increasingly anxious about American engineering competition. This was witnessed firsthand in Australia, when in 1886 an American firm won the contract to build the Hawkesbury River Bridge in New South Wales, after an international competition. The success of the American tender provoked Jeremiah Head, President of the (British) Institution of Mechanical Engineers, to discuss the issue in his address that year. His comments in turn attracted the attention of the editor of the Engineering and Mining Journal, on the other side of the Atlantic, in New York. The editor commented that

There is no doubt that Mr. Head has really touched the key-note to the superiority of our American engineering practice, which is pre-eminent in its adaptability to the surrounding circumstances, irrespective of precedents that may have been established under other and varying conditions.[9]

Notwithstanding the self-congratulatory nature of the comment, most authorities agreed that significant shifts were taking place and that American engineering was indeed proving to be superior. The success of the American bid can be explained by the pace and scale of railway building in America, as well as that country's high labour costs, circumstances that encouraged American engineering shops to prefabricate materials in ways in which the British were not inclined (or compelled) to do.[10]

The example of the Hawkesbury River bridge is relevant here because a similar
set of circumstances helps to explain the appeal of American mining engineers to those in charge of the large mining companies in the Australian colonies, first on the Barrier in New South Wales. In the late 1880s, the directors of the Broken Hill Proprietary [BHP] had begun to appreciate both the wealth and the extent of their mine’s ore body, and realised too that efficient mining and metallurgical operations would require considerable engineering skill. It is worth bearing in mind that the directors were men with virtually no experience in mining, and well aware of their limitations when it came to mining operations. To obtain the services of the best available experts for running their property, they turned to the western US, and it was from there that they recruited their senior officials. ‘Just now’, noted an Adelaide journalist in his report on Broken Hill in early 1888, ‘the "Merican expert" is the prominent man’.11

In 1886, the same year that the Hawkesbury Bridge contract had been awarded to an American firm, one of the BHP directors, W.R. Wilson, was dispatched to the U.S.12 He visited mines, inspected equipment and interviewed a candidate for the job of metallurgist at Broken Hill. H.H. Schlapp, the prospective employee, was formerly superintendent of the Pueblo smelter in Colorado ‘and Mr. Wilson thoroughly satisfied himself ... that Mr. Schlapp’s qualifications were of the very highest order’.13 The Directors engaged another mining man with American experience to make ‘an outside general report’ on the mine, which was appended to the Directors’ Report of 1886.14 In early 1887, the job of General Manager was offered to a third ‘Merican expert’, W.H. Patton. He was Superintendent of the Consolidated Virginia Silver Mining Company, one of the largest mines on the famous Comstock lode. ‘This gentleman’, the directors proudly told BHP shareholders,

holds a premier position in the silver mining world of America, and has had a long experience in the mining of large silver lodes, which will be of the utmost value and importance in relation to your large property. … He has had under his control very large milling and amalgamating plants, and is fully conversant with all their operations. Your Directors therefore regarded the possession of his experience and advice in regard to these processes of ore reduction as of the highest importance, and as alone justifying the appointment.15

Not until the 1920s did an Australian hold the position of General Manager at BHP.16

It is tempting to speculate that had Broken Hill been developed ten or twenty years earlier, BHP directors may have turned to Cornwall as the logical place to recruit expert staff. As it was, the emergence of the Comstock as the world’s premier silver
mining region doubtless goes a long way towards explaining the directors’ choice. In both places, mining took place on a very large scale.

In places on the BHP claim, the lode was a hundred feet wide. One of the first geologists to inspect Broken Hill described it as ‘the most extraordinary and largest lode I have ever seen’. Mining such a massive ore body created problems, since it entailed the removal of enough underground material to jeopardize stability at the surface. The first American managers at BHP introduced mining methods to deal with such problems. Patton inaugurated the square-set method of mine timbering in an effort to prevent subsidence and cave-ins from hampering mining operations. Even square sets, however, did not prevent earth movement and the occasional disastrous cave in. Patton’s successor as General Manager, John Howell, another American, introduced an alternative solution to the problem. In 1891 he began extracting ore from the surface by means of an open cut, which eventually extended almost a mile along the line of lode and 300 feet deep. The open cut was not only efficient, allowing the recovery of virtually the entire ore body that it exposed, but also relieved pressure on deeper underground workings and meant that a significant amount of timber could be retrieved from the underground workings that it exposed.

Some of the same BHP directors were also key actors in the development of the Mt Lyell mine in Tasmania. After BHP’s American metallurgist gave them an encouraging assessment of the mine’s ore, they decided to purchase the property. It was clear that operating the mine profitably was going to call for considerable metallurgical skill, and so once again they turned to the US to recruit a suitable engineer. The man they hired, Edward Peters, was North America’s leading expert on copper smelting, and had recently built the smelter for the Canadian Copper Company in Sudbury, Ontario. In late 1892 Peters accepted their lucrative offer to assist with setting up mining and smelting operations at Mt Lyell. He spent five months at the mine, proving its worth as a producer as well as the viability of smelting its ore. Robert Sticht, a second American and someone who, like Peters, had extensive smelting experience in the western US, subsequently took charge of Mt Lyell’s metallurgical operations. He was soon the mine’s general manager, continuing in that position after the Mt Lyell and North Lyell companies amalgamated in 1903. By then, according to Geoffrey Blainey, Sticht was widely regarded as ‘the greatest figure in the huge Australian mining industry of that day’.

At the same time that Mt Lyell achieved prominence as a leading copper
producer, the golden wealth of Western Australia was also attracting a good deal of attention, particularly from speculative investors on London’s Stock Exchange. News of the dramatic gold finds in Kalgoorlie had reached England in 1894. Almost immediately City financiers – hoping for a second South Africa – formed companies to acquire and operate mines there.\textsuperscript{24} London promoters launched nearly 50 mining companies in the autumn of 1894, compared to 18 in the first eight months of the year. The momentum grew throughout 1895 and continued into 1896. By the end of that year, close to 800 West Australian mining companies had been floated in London.\textsuperscript{25}

The following year, a young American mining engineer, Herbert Hoover, arrived in the colony. Hoover’s career in Western Australia differed in some significant ways from the other American mining engineers discussed thus far. Unlike those others, who were recruited directly by Australian mining interests, Hoover was employed by a London engineering firm, Bewick, Moreing and Company. The firm’s activities were largely directed by C.A. Moreing, one of the key players in London’s speculative boom in Westralian mines of the mid-1890s.\textsuperscript{26} Thus Hoover’s initial career in Australia was linked to the strategies of a British firm, who hired him as a mine scout.\textsuperscript{27} He was subsequently placed in charge of a mine he had recommended for purchase, the Sons of Gwalia mine, where he soon established his abilities as a mine manager.\textsuperscript{28} Hoover introduced various changes at the mine – increasing the miners’ working hours, reducing staffing levels underground, and recruiting Italian labour – that would become typical of the Western Australian properties managed by Bewick, Moreing.\textsuperscript{29} Hoover worked hard to reduce the mine’s working costs, a concern typical of mining engineers and managers throughout the American west. In Western Australia, however, it was more of a novelty. Managers there were under a great deal of pressure to ship ore – and the higher grade the better – in order to bolster share prices, as a consequence of the prevailing boom conditions. In this context, antagonizing working miners was unwise, since a strike-bound mine was not likely to prove attractive to investors. Even Bewick, Moreing became nervous when it learned of Hoover’s plans to use Italian labor:

We note that you are endeavouring to introduce Italian labor into the mines as far as possible, and Mr. Hooper would suggest that this be done judiciously so as not to supersede other labor altogether, otherwise the Labor Union may give you trouble and precipitate strikes.\textsuperscript{30}

Despite such misgivings, the London office certainly appreciated Hoover’s work in Western Australia. Moreing later remembered Hoover as ‘one of my engineers in my
staff in Western Australia, a young man at that time [1898] who had very greatly distinguished himself there’. 31

In early 1899 Moreing picked Hoover for an assignment in China, where he spent the next two years. Hoover’s time in China enabled him shortly afterwards to become a full partner in Bewick, Moreing and Company. He then returned to Australia, where he assumed overall control of the firm’s extensive mining interests.

Once back in Western Australia, Hoover pursued a policy of ruthless efficiency, one which he had first charted at the Sons of Gwalia several years earlier. Now, however, he was a figure of far greater authority and could act with considerable autonomy. One of his first moves was to import a number of American mine managers, to whom he entrusted many of the mines operated by Bewick, Moreing. His reputation as a leading engineer was also gaining wide currency. In early 1903, for example, The Economist described ‘the great improvement’ in the management of West Australian mines as ‘almost startling’. Its ‘Special Mining Commissioner’ (J.H. Curle) felt that

Bewick, Moreing, and Co. have played an important part in the recent development of West Australian mines, and by the introduction of some of the ablest American mine managers and the sound handling of the mines under their charge, have helped to raise the industry to a materially higher plane. ... Personally, I look on Mr. Hoover as a most valuable factor in the mining industry today. 32

The following year marked the peak of Bewick, Moreing’s influence in Western Australia, however, as in 1904 it suffered a series of setbacks. Several groups in Australia challenged the company’s dominant position on the goldfields, although the reverses of 1904 were not only a result of this opposition. Not unlike characters in a classical tragedy, Hoover’s and Moreing’s confidence and pride encouraged them to over-extend themselves. 33 Under their direction, Bewick, Moreing & Company assumed the responsibility for managing two more leading mines in Western Australia – the Golden Horseshoe and the Great Boulder Perseverance – but the firm promised the corporate owners of the two properties more than it could deliver. The subsequent embarrassments damaged Bewick, Moreing’s reputation although it continued to operate in West Australia for many years. 34

The pattern of Hoover’s mining activities now changed. Increasingly he aligned himself with the financier, Francis Govett, and acted as a consultant and adviser for those London-based companies in which Govett was interested. Companies under their
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influence – notably the Lake View Consols and later, the Oroya Brownhill – began to invest heavily in other mining properties around the world. Thus companies that had originally been formed to operate individual mines became complex multi-national entities, with holdings in numerous regions around the world.³⁵ One of the more successful of their ventures was in Broken Hill, where they participated in the launch of the Zinc Corporation. However, they were not acting alone: in addition to Hoover and Govett, key figures included W.S. Robinson, his brother Lionel Robinson, William Clark, and W.L. Baillieu.³⁶ Hoover’s role in all this was largely as a financier and promoter. It is hard to assess what contribution he made as an engineer, but if he did act in this capacity, it was as a very minor player.³⁷

Hoover played a larger role in attempting to turn a profit from the Loddon Valley’s auriferous ‘deep leads’, in Victoria. The alluvial gravels of the Loddon Valley had earlier attracted Whitaker Wright’s attention and he had formed three companies ostensibly aimed at working them (although they could well have been organised for speculative purposes).³⁸ Like other of Wright’s companies, they suffered a dramatic decline when Wright’s mining empire collapsed in 1900-1901. Hoover evidently hoped that a reconstructed and re-capitalized company might prove successful. An advertisement in The Times of London in May 1903 advised readers of the formation of a new company, the London and Globe Deep Leads Assets, Ltd. The company was being formed, explained the advertisement,

to acquire the interests ... in about 11,000 acres of mining rights, situated in the well-known Deep Leads of Victoria, Australia, by the provision of further working capital ... to render remunerative the large sums which have been already spent on the development and equipment of the properties ... These interests consist chiefly of valuable assets of the London and Globe Corporation [sic] and the British American [sic] Corporation, which have been disposed of by the Official Receiver for the benefit of the creditors of the first-named company, at a fraction of the price at which they formerly stood.³⁹

Shortly afterward, Hoover arranged to have a senior official with the US Geological Survey come to Victoria and report on the deep leads.⁴⁰ The editorial pages of the Melbourne Age featured an optimistic piece about the project in December 1903, noting Hoover’s involvement; a little over six months later, the New York-based Engineering and Mining Journal carried an article on the deep leads, adding approvingly that Bewick, Moreing’s representatives ‘are importing an American "push" into the work
which is much appreciated’. However, even American push proved unequal to the task: the project carried on for some years, but ended in failure.

As these various examples suggest, American mining engineers played prominent roles on various mining fields in Australia from the late 1880s to the early 1900s, as they did in other regions – in South America, South Africa, and elsewhere. And that raises the question of its significance: What meaning should one attach to the presence of American engineers in Australia?

Any answer to that question ought to pay attention not only to the Australian context into which these American engineers were recruited, but also to those conditions in the US that helped nurture engineering expertise. After all, the actions of BHP directors in seeking out senior American staff for their mine seem fairly straightforward. The fact that these were American mining engineers was likely of little immediate concern; the point was to take advantage of individuals who could introduce ‘best practices’ at the mine and mill. And as Nathan Rosenberg has argued, technology during the nineteenth century tended to move in step with the movement of trained personnel: ‘Where the transfer of technology involved places geographically distant from one another, the reliance upon the migration of trained personnel (at least temporarily) was very strong’. But why were these American engineers willing to travel such distances? To judge by their behaviour, like their employers they were driven chiefly by economic motives. Their overseas employment was typically of limited duration, and they were well rewarded for their work.

However other factors deserve to be noted. Contemporary observers saw the ascendancy of American mining engineers as a reflection of the technological lead of the United States, underlining the growing pre-eminence of American technology and American business methods. The fact that this coincided with increasing American economic strength and political resolve internationally was hardly fortuitous. In 1906, no less a figure than the American Secretary of State drew attention to the fact that America was no longer a debtor nation, but was accruing a pool of capital that needed to be re-directed abroad, to find foreign markets. Despite its origins in anti-trust rhetoric, the Federal Reserve was created seven years later to assist and encourage the internationalisation of American financing. The presence of American mining engineers in Australia and elsewhere is also part of that larger story. After all, these men travelled the world both as Americans and as engineers, as one of their colleagues noted in the *Engineering & Mining Journal* in 1910:
The American mining engineer in foreign lands formerly recognized the sad fact his Government did not give him adequate protection. ... there has been a decided improvement in the policy of protection to the American citizen abroad and the engineer from the United States feels that the day is at hand when he will be as much respected as the citizens of ancient Rome whose declaration, *civis Romanus sum*, was sufficient to get him justice in every part of the world.\footnote{47}

The American mining engineers who came to Australia were not simply individuals pursuing economic advantage. Their presence also reflected the complex relationships between national identity, commercial interests, and the increasingly connected world of the twentieth century.
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